# LS-DYNA: Status and Development Plan

John Hallquist, Yun Huang, Iñaki Çaldichoury, Jason Wang

### 13<sup>th</sup> International LS-DYNA User's Conference June 8-10, 2014



## Outline

# Introduction

# Developments

- Recent enhancements John Hallquist
- Linear solver Yun Huang
- LS-PrePost: ICFD & EM Iñaki Çaldichoury
- Particle methods Jason Wang
- Conclusions

## **LSTC Products**





# **LS-DYNA** Application Areas

### Development costs are spread across many industries



### Automotive

Crash and safety NVH Durability FSI



### Aerospace

Bird strike Containment

Crash

### Manufacturing

Stamping

Forging



### **Consumer Products**



#### Structural

Earthquake safety Concrete structures Homeland security



### Electronics

Drop analysis Package analysis Thermal

### Defense

Weapons design Blast response Penetration Underwater Shock Analysis



# LS-DYNA - One Code, One Price, Strategy

*"Combine the multi-physics capabilities into one parallel scalable code for solving highly nonlinear transient problems to enable the solution of coupled multi-physics, multi-scale, and multi-stage problems "* 



## **Accommodates Coupled Simulations**

#### Multiple field equations are strongly coupled



# **One Code for Multiple Solutions**



### LS-DYNA

- Multi-physics
  - Structure + Fluid + EM + Heat Transfer + , ..
- Multi-stage
  - Implicit + Explicit ....
- Multi-scale
  - Failure predictions, i.e., spot welds
- Multi-formulations
  - linear + nonlinear +



### **Many Results**

Manufacturing, Durability, NVH, Crash, FSI

## Developments

Recent Enhancements John Hallquist Linear Solver Yun Huang LS-PrePost: ICFD & EM Iñaki Çaldichoury Particle Methods Jason Wang

## **FEA Solvers**

# Element Technology

- Contact
- Connection
- Material
- Forming
- Crash/Safety

### Subcycling

- Partitions elements in groups based on their characteristic time step size
- Each partition is then integrated independently using a time step for the partition with the exception of special treatment at the shared element interfaces.
- Up to seven sub models are automatically generated each integrated in steps of 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, and 64 times the smallest characteristic time step of the entire model.

### Multi-scale

- User may manually designate parts to be integrated at specific time steps.
- Special treatment at the shared element interfaces.
- Approach is intended for detailed modeling of critical components in a large simulation model
- Different time scales save CPU time.

### Advantages of new approach:

- Both methods are combined with mass scaling to avoid future sorting
- Permits element partitions with different time step sizes to be uniformly distributed across processors at the beginning of the simulation
  - Improved load balancing
- No additional user input than minor modifications to the subcycling control keywords
- Eliminates the complications related to multiple models running simultaneously on the same or separate cores
  - Complex input

Disadvantage: Stability issues related to subcycling

### \*CONTROL\_SUBCYCLE\_{K}\_{L}

### \*CONTROL\_SUBCYCLE\_MASS\_SCALED\_PART\_{SET}



Using different time steps in different subdomains, interface between subdomains by constraints indicated by red and due to contact by green.

Rav4 CPU timings (s)	Subcycling, K=64, L=4	Multi-scale, L=4	No subcycling
Contacts	133	133	288
Elements	194	206	636



B-pillar refined DT2MS=-1e-3 DT(B-pillar)=1.3e-4



# Implicit rotational dynamics

**Rotational dynamics:** the study of vibration of rotating parts (turbine blades, propellers in aircraft and rotating disks in hard disk drives etc.).

The **deformation of rotating components** can cause damage as the rotational velocity increases.

A **resonant vibration** can lead to premature fatigue failure in rotating components, bearings and support structures.

The goal of the Rotational Dynamics in LS-DYNA is to study the above vibration-related phenomenon by considering the spin softening and gyroscopic effects.

# Implicit rotational dynamics

Progress:

- A \*CONTROL\_IMPLICIT\_ROTATIONAL\_DYNAMICS card is added to LS-DYNA to do Rotational Dynamics analysis.
- Four types of elements: beam, shell, thick shell and solid, are available for the rotational dynamics studies.
- Vibration and modal analysis are verified using theoretical results or tested compared to 3<sup>rd</sup> party code results.
- Campbell diagram plotting
- Developments are ongoing:
  - Please contact Liping Li (<u>liping@lstc.com</u>) with feedback and requests for additional features.

## **Cosserat point element**

- Brick element using Cosserat Point Theory
  - 8-node hexahedron,
    - 1-point solid element with hourglass type 10.
  - 10-node tetrahedron element
    - solid element type 16 with hourglass type 10.
  - Hourglass is based on a total strain formulation
  - Provides hight accuracy and insignificant mesh sensitivity

## **Cosserat point element**



- Tip loaded cantilever beam
  - 5 mesh size levels (H=10, 5, 3.33, 2.5, 2 mm)
  - 3 distortion levels (a=-20, 0, 20 mm)
  - 2 load cases (horizontal (H) and vertical (V))
- Analytical tip displacement 0.21310 mm

# **Cosserat** point hexahedron

**R7.1** 



Worst errors for three hourglass formulations

# **Cosserat 10-noded tetrahedron**

### **R7.1**

- Plane strain
- Implicit with extremely tight convergence criterion
- Hyperelastic rubber (PR=0.4997)
- 5 different mesh orientations
- CPE3D10 vs. Type 16 (NIP=4)

- Three basic checks
  - Sensitivity of results with respect to mesh orientation
  - How far can the block be compressed
  - How many iterations and reformations are needed



Fully integrated tetrahedron

CPE tetrahedron

## **Cosserat 10-noded tetrahedron**

**R7.1** 

# Check #2 and #3 - Robustness and Convergence CPE3D10

Max%comp.	Vol%error	lter/Ref
56.5	0.5	900/57
61.5	0.6	883/55
51.5	0.4	883/56
40	0.3	858/50
51	0.5	882/56

### Type 16

Max%comp	Vol%error	lter/Ref
29	0.5	1562/110
35.5	1.6	983/61
32	0.5	1237/84
32.5	0.8	1031/66
35	0.6	1162/77

# Single point pentahedron

- Implemented as element type 115
- Supports Flanagan-Belytschko viscous and stiffness hourglass types
- More robust than the 2 point integrated pentahedron element
- Degenerated single point hexahedron elements are sorted to type 115
- Supported for implicit calculations



# \*ELEMENT\_BEAM\_PULLEY

- General framework for pulley mechanism: rope / cable / belt / chain runs over a wheel
  → beam elements run over pulley node
- Available for truss beam elements
- Available for \*MAT\_ELASTIC and \*MAT\_MUSCLE, more materials could be implemented
- Automatic detection of adjacent beam elements

*ELEI	MENT_BEAM	I_PULLEY			
\$	PUID	BID1	BID2	PNID	•••
	101	0	0	20001	



# \*ELEMENT\_BEAM\_PULLEY

**R7.1** 

 Increase accuracy for slipping and swapping by tightening slip condition tolerances, correcting velocity of swapped node, and changing internal precision from single to double for selected pulley variables



## **FEA Solvers**

# Element Technology

## Contact

- Connection
- Material
- Forming
- Crash/Safety

# New Option for Segment\_based Cont **R7.1**

Based on Splitting Pinball Method, Belytschko and Yeh, 1993



 Able to treat numerous types of contact in a consistent way, including those posing difficulties for node-to-segment contact.



## New option for segment\_based contact

- This new option for computational airbag folding
  - is based on the penetration into the bilinear patch
  - conducts unified treatment of various contact types, including edge contact and node-to-surface contact etc..
- Is activated by setting "soft=2" and "depth=45"
- An intersection report is printed for the new option which provides information on interpenetrations



# New option for segment\_based contact

#### **R7.1 – Folded intersection-free bag**

dilipdemo8 (UNIT: kg-mm-ms-K) simfold step1 Time = 80



dilipdemo8 (UNIT: kg-mm-ms-K) simfold step1



dilipdemo8 (UNIT: kg-mm-ms-K) simfold step1 Time = 80



# New option for segment\_based contact

### **R7.1 – Deployment of the folded bag using various number of CPUs**

dilipdemo8 (UNIT: kg-mm-ms-K) simfold step1 Time = 9.9992

time = 10.0

dilipdemo8 (UNIT: kg-mm-ms-K) simfold step1 Time = 29.999

time = 30.0



## **Mortar Contact**



- Recommended for implicit simulations
- Beam contact with lateral surface area supported in AUTOMATIC\_...\_MORTAR contact
- In addition, bucket sort is made more efficient for large scale applications with the advent of R7.1.0

## **FEA Solvers**

# Element Technology

- Contact
- Connection
- Material
- Forming
- Crash/Safety

# \*MAT\_ADD\_COHESIVE

- Offers the possibility to use a selection of 3-dim. material models in conjunction with cohesive elements
- Cohesive elements (ELFORM = 19 and 20 of \*SECTION\_SOLID) can only be used with a small subset of materials (138, 184, 185, 186, 240). With this additional keyword, more material models can be used (mat-1, 3, 4, 6, 15, 24, 41-50, 81, 82, 89, 96, 98, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 115, 120, 123, 124, 141, 168, 173, 187, 188, 193, 224, 225, 252, and 255).
- Assumptions of inhibited lateral expansion and in-plane shearing



# \*MAT\_TOUGHENED\_ADHESIVE\_POLYMER

# **R7.1-** Material model 252 for crash optimized high-strength adhesives under combined shear & tensile loading

- Drucker-Prager-Cap type plasticity + rate dependence + damage + failure
- well suited for combination with \*MAT\_ADD\_COHESIVE



### yield surface of Drucker-Prager-Cap





50

40

30

20

10

0

Spannung t<sub>N</sub> [MPa]

Experiment Sim. Dam-Model=1 Sim. Dam-Model=0

0.02 0.04 0.06 0.08 0.1 0.12 0.14

Verschiebungssprung u<sub>N</sub> [mm]

32

## \*CONSTRAINED\_INTERPOLATION\_SPOTWELD

- Model for self-piercing rivets, based on paper by M. Bier, 2013
- Replaces \*CONSTRAINED\_SPR3
- The algorithm does a normal projection from the two sheets to the spotweld node and locates all nodes within the user-defined diameter of influence



## **FEA Solvers**

# Element Technology

- Contact
- Connection
- Material
- Forming
- Crash/Safety

# Material 34 bending stiffness for implicit



- Specify coating properties of fabric
- Pressurized coated membrane shown
- Solved implicit statics, not possible without bending stiffness

# \*MAT\_DRY\_FABRIC

**R7.1** 

- \*MAT\_DRY\_FABRIC (\*MAT\_214) is a shell element material used to model high strength woven fabric (e.g., Kevlar<sup>®</sup> 49) with transverse orthotropic behavior.
- candidate materials for use in structural systems where high energy absorption is required, like materials used in propulsion engine containment system, body armor and personal protections
- When fibers scissor, the stress update becomes less accurate and single and double precision solutions were inconsistent.



To address this, \*MAT\_214 track a-fiber and b-fiber directions independently.




# \*MAT\_PAPER (MAT\_274) for paperboard

**R7.1** 

- Orthotropic elastoplastic model based on Xia (2002) and Nygards (2009)
- Used for modeling of paperboard, a strongly heterogeneous material, creasing simulation with delamination of individual plies
- Available for solid and shell elements
- Has shown to reproduce experimental data well



### **FEA Solvers**

### Element Technology

- Contact
- Connection
- Material
- Forming
- Crash/Safety

## **LS-DYNA in Stamping Simulation**

- Lancing to alleviate metal thinning during forming
  - Progressive lancing: blank was gradually cut
  - Instant cut: blank was cut instantly
- New contact for guide pins and blank edge
  - Eliminate missing contact,
  - ignore blank thickness



- Iterative method
- Allow several stages, including forming, trimming, flanging, etc.



### **LS-DYNA in Stamping Simulation**

- Two new features in springback compensation
  - After springback compensation, if there is small change to the part, then the die will be modified based on the change
  - Local smoothing in springback compensation.
- More friendly control of d3plot output:
  - Based on the punch position to its home distance
- Arbitrary polygon can be used in defining adaptive box
- Automatic close of open-ended trim curve loops to make trimming more robust
- MAT 122 was extended to 3D elements
  - Anisotropy in both elastic and plastic deformation
  - Applicable to composite materials

### **FEA Solvers**

### Element Technology

- Contact
- Connection
- Material
- Forming
- Crash/Safety

### **Energy-based pretensioner**

#### **R7.1**

- Pull-in or belt load history of pretensioners vary with the size of the dummy, or if pretensioners are activated at different times.
- Different pretensioner models are needed for different crash scenarios when pull-in or belt load options are used to model the pretensioners.
- A pretension-energy based option is now available. This allows a single/unique pretensioner model to be used for various scenarios.





### MAT\_ADD\_EROSION

**R7.1** 

- MAT\_ADD\_EROSION application is extended to MAT\_34, and
  - Shell formulation 18, 20, 21, 23, 24 and 54
  - Beam formulation 7 and 8
- Treatment of failed elements in an airbag model

CARD 6 of \*AIRBAG\_HYBRID

VNTOPT: bag venting option

EQ. 2: the areas of failed elements at failure times are added to the venting area defined by A23.



Thank You!

Linear Solvers Yun Huang

### **Frequency domain features**

- FRF
- SSD
- Random vibration
- Random fatigue

- Response spectrum analysis
- BEM Acoustics
- FEM Acoustics



### Application

- NVH of automotive and air craft
- Acoustic design and analysis
- Defense industry
- Fatigue of machines and engines
- Civil and hydraulic engineering
- Earthquake engineering



#### FRF

#### What is FRF?



- FRF, as a transfer function, expresses structural response to applied load as a function of frequency
- Property of structure system
- Dependent on frequency





### **Random vibration**

#### Why do we need random vibration analysis?

- The loading on a structure is not known in a definite sense
- Many vibration environments are not related to a specific driving frequency
- Examples:
  - Wind-turbine
  - Air flow over a wing or past a car body
  - Acoustic input from jet engine exhaust
- Earthquake ground motion
- Wheels running over a rough road
- Ocean wave loads on offshore platforms

*Input*: PSD (Power Spectral Density), or SPL (Sound Pressure Level)

**Output:** D3PSD, D3RMS, NODOUT\_PSD, ELOUT\_PSD



#### New interpolation options on PSD curve

Three types of interpolation for PSD curves



### Random vibration fatigue

#### \*FREQUENCY\_DOMAIN\_RANDOM\_VIBRATION\_FATIGUE

- Calculate fatigue life of structures under random vibration
- Based on S-N fatigue curve
- Based on probability distribution & Miner's Rule of Cumulative Damage Ratio



#### \*MAT\_ADD\_FATIGUE

Card 1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Variable	MID	LCID	LTYPE	А	В	STHRES	SNLIMT	
Туре	Ι	Ι	Ι	F	F	F	Ι	
Default	none	-1	0	0.0	0.0	none	0	

$$N \cdot S^{m} = a$$
  

$$\log(S) = a - b \cdot \log(N)$$

$$R = \sum_{i} \frac{n_{i}}{N_{i}}$$



51

#### SSD

#### Why do we need SSD (Steady State Dynamics)?

- Harmonic excitation is often encountered in engineering systems. E.g. it is commonly produced by the unbalance in rotating machinery.
- The load may also come from periodic load, e.g. in fatigue test.
- The excitation may also come from uneven base, e.g. the force on tires running on a zig-zag road

*Input*: frequency load spectrum (complex variable)

**Output**: D3SSD, NODOUT\_SSD, ELOUT\_SSD





 $F(t) = F_0 \sin(\omega t + \phi)$ 

# SSD Fatigue (ongoing development)

#### \*FREQUENCY\_DOMAIN\_SSD\_FATIGUE

- Calculate fatigue life of structures under steady state vibration (e.g. sine sweep)
- Based on S-N fatigue curve
- Based on Miner's Rule of Cumulative Damage Ratio
- Rain-flow counting algorithm for each frequency for one period



### **Example of SSD Fatigue**

Č,

#### Loading condition

Freq (Hz)	Acl (g)	Duration (min)
16	0.5	10
20	0.5	10
25	0.5	10
31.5	0.5	10
2000	0.5	10

S	SN fatigue curve					
	σ (MPa)	Ν				
	100	8×10 <sup>4</sup>				
	10	8×10 <sup>5</sup>				
	1.	8×10 <sup>6</sup>				
	0.1	8×10 <sup>7</sup>				
	0.01	8×10 <sup>8</sup>				

Fringe Levels Contours of Cumulative damage ratio max IP. value 1.605e-01 min=0.00549886, at elem# 844153 1.450e-01 max=0.160471, at elem# 846197 1.295e-01 1.140e-01 9.848e-02 8.299e-02 6.749e-02 5.199e-02 3.649e-02 2.100e-02 5.499e-03 The two ends are constrained on shaker table

#### **BEM Acoustics**



#### ATV and MATV

\*FREQUENCY\_DOMAIN\_ACOUSTIC\_BEM\_ATV \*FREQUENCY\_DOMAIN\_ACOUSTIC\_BEM\_MATV

- ATV calculates acoustic pressure at field points due to unit normal velocity of each surface node.
- MATV calculates acoustic pressure at field points due to vibration in eigen-modes.
- ATV / MATV is dependent on structure model, properties of acoustic fluid as well as location of field points.
- ATV / MATV is useful if the same structure needs to be studied under multiple load cases.



### Example: ATV of car door model



### MATV BEM is efficient



Cases	traditional BEM	MATV BEM
1 load case	2 h 39 m 50 s	4 h 40 m 56 s
10 load cases	26 h 38 m 18 s	4 h 41 m 10 s



#### **D3ACS for BEM acoustics**

#### \*DATABASE\_FREQUENCY\_BINARY\_D3ACS





Acoustic volume of compartment



**Real part of surface pressure** 



#### Imaginary part of surface pressure

#### Incident waves for acoustic analysis

#### \*FREQUENCY\_DOMAIN\_ACOUSTIC\_INCIDENT\_WAVE



### Example: sound scattering on rigid sphere



#### **FEM Acoustics**

#### \*FREQUENCY\_DOMAIN\_ACOUSTIC\_FEM

- An alternative method for acoustics. It helps predict and improve sound and noise performance of various systems. The FEM simulates the entire propagation volume -- being air or water
- Compute acoustic pressure and SPL (sound pressure level)
- Output frequency range dependent on mesh size
- Available elements: hexahedron, tetrahedron, and pentahedron



#### Output: D3ACS; PRESS\_PA; PRESS\_DB

### **Response spectrum analysis**

#### \*FREQUENCY\_DOMAIN\_RESPONSE\_SPECTRUM

#### Various mode combination methods

- $\circ~\text{SRSS}$  method
- $\circ$  NRC Grouping method
- CQC method
- Double Sum methods
- $\circ~\text{NRL}\,\text{SUM}$  method
- Evaluate peak response of structure
- The input spectrum is dependent on damping (using \*DEFINE\_TABLE to define the series of excitation spectrum corresponding to each damping ratio).
- Application: *earthquake engineering,*

nuclear power plants design etc.

 Strain results are obtained by turning on STRFLG in \*DATABASE\_EXTENT\_BINARY.
 Output: D3SPCM





Thank You!

EM, ICFD & LS-PrePost Iñaki Çaldichoury







In collaboration with: G. Mazars & G. Avrillaud: Bmax, Toulouse, France



# MMF: High velocity forming process

- Forming limits increased
- Springback reduced
- Wrinkling reduced
- High reproducibility





#### Magneto crimping

#### **Magneto forming**



IWU

In collaboration with: Christian Scheffler, Chemnitz, Germany

In collaboration with: G. Mazars and G. Avrillaud: Bmax, Toulouse, France



Heating by Joule losses pressure applied for consolidation and maintained during cooling.



#### **Rail gun simulation**










- EM heats up a coil plunged in a kettle
- ICFD with conjugate heat transfer heats up the water



Water stream lines colored by the temperature level. EM Solver New Developments

### **Application: Conducting shells**

**Development :** Allowing user to define conductive shells in 3D problems.

Advancement stage : Conducting shells can be used in the development version with the Eddy Current, Inductive heating and Resistive heating solvers. EM contact also available. SMP and MPP versions fully functional.

- Eddy currents i.e the combination of inductive diffusive effects is essentially a 3D effect which means that elements with thickness are usually required for correct solve. Consequently, up to now, only solid conductive elements were allowed, shells could be insulators only.
- However, some users have expressed the wish to be able to use conductive shells in 3D problems in order to maintain their associated mechanical and thermal properties.
- From the EM perspective, those shells are treated like "invisible" solids i.e the EM solver will build an underlying equivalent solid mesh to solve for the EM quantities.

### EM forming using conductive shells vs solids



### Impact against battery : shortcut study



**Application: Resistive spot welding and others** 

**Development:** Calculation of a additional resistance term and local Joule heating due to contact occurring between two conductors.

- Resistive spot welding is a process in which contacting metal surfaces are joined by the heat obtained from resistance to electric current.
- Frequently encountered in the automobile manufacturing industry where it is used almost universally to weld metal sheets together (often automated process).
- Several formulas exist, often variation of Holm's law:



With  $\rho$  the material's resistivity and a the radius of the equivalent contact circle area.

Application: Resistive spot welding and others

**Status:** Available in the development version. Several methods for calculating contact Resistance available. See \*EM\_CONTACT\_RESISTANCE card.

Local heating spot between electrodes and work piece due to Contact Resistance



#### **Application: magnetic field lines**

**Development:** Have the solver compute some magnetic field lines in the air based on user defined parameters for analysis and post treating purposes.

- The use of the BEM method i.e no air mesh does not allow the visualization of the EM fields in the air hence the interest of this new feature.
- From a starting point given by the user the field line is computed step by step using a explicit numerical integration scheme (RK4, DOP853).
- Approximation methods are available in order to speed up the computation of the second member of the magnetic field line equations :
  - multipole method
  - "multicenter" method: this method has been developed at LSTC
- This feature along with the multicenter/multipole methods are treated as a research project that could be used at a latter stage to compute and store efficiently the BEM matrix and thus speed up the computational time of the EM solver.

#### **Application: Magnetic field lines**

**Current status:** The magnetic field lines are now exported as individual lsprepost readable files at each output state, and will soon be integrated in the d3plot files. In a future development cycle, they will be automatically generated in lsprepost at any time without the user having to specify the output times before the run.



**Future Developments** 

- Symmetry conditions
- Piezo electric materials
- Magnetic materials

# **ICFD Solver**

- A CFD solver for incompressible flows (ICFD solver).
- Fully implicit.
- Double precision.
- SMP and MPP versions available. Highly scalable in MPP.
- New set of keywords starting with \*ICFD/\*MESH.
- Can run as a stand alone CFD solver.

### Aerodynamics: study of turbulent flow around a car



#### Aerodynamics: study of flow vortexes around a wind turbine



Wave impact: study of pressure forces on a body



Time: 0.00 s

#### Aircraft wing filling: study of fuel repartition and filling time



### **Thoughts about FSI**

- A widely approach used in engineering is to assume that the FSI problem is linear and to use two different software products and licenses.
- The solid work group finds the need for FSI simulation.
- The geometry is sent to the fluid group which builds a mesh and runs the fluid problem with a CFD solver until it reaches steady state.
- The fluid stresses together with the mesh is brought back to the solid group which handles data with scripts to convert it into the input data for the solid solver.
- The solid solver performs a modal analysis.

### The LS-DYNA approach

- LS-DYNA has immense solid mechanics capabilities as well as a huge material library. It can run both in explicit and implicit and already has a thermal solver for solids.
- LS-DYNA offers the perfect environment in order to develop a CFD solver allowing complex fluid structure interactions as well as the solving of conjugate heat transfer problems.
- The set up of the coupled problem is greatly simplified with only a few additional keywords necessary.
- On top of the classic "loose" or "explicit" FSI coupling, the ICFD solver offers a state of the art strong coupling method which opens up new applications.
- "All in one code" strategy.

#### Aerodynamics: highly non linear FSI problem with flap oscillating in the wind (Turek benchmark problem)



#### Sloshing: Flap oscillating in partially filled tank

Time = 0, #nodes=2146228, #elem2d=274382, #elem3d=6403785



#### **Artificial Heart valve: Strong pressure gradients forces leaflets open**



Stamping and conjugate heat transfer: flow in serpentine causes dye to cool off



ICFD Solver New Developments

**Application: Multiphase problems** 

**Development:** Being able to solve problems with two fluids of different densities (water+air).

**Current Status:** Implementation stage.

- Numerous applications such as lubrication, droplets, sloshing in closed tanks, etc.
- Two immiscible phases.
- Level Set approach for interface tracking.
- Continuous and discontinuous approach to model pressure jumps (surface tension).
- When the inertial effects of the second fluid can be simplified, the Free surface approach can be used.

#### **Application: Multiphase problems**





- Free surface approach: suitable for problems where the inertial effects of the lighter fluid may be neglected.
- Continuous approach: works in most multiphase problems.
- Discontinuous approach: used in problems where surface tension effects are important.



#### **Application: Porous media**

**Development:** Implementation of a generalization of the Navier Stokes equations that will allow the definition of sub-domains with different permeability/porosity.

**Current Status:** Validation stage. Available in the development version. See 4<sup>th</sup> card of ICFD\_MAT.

Being  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\kappa$  the porosity and the permeability of the medium respectively :

$$\begin{split} u_{i} &= \varepsilon u_{if} \quad \varepsilon = \frac{void \ volume}{total \ volume} \\ &\frac{\rho}{\varepsilon} \bigg[ \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \left( \frac{u_{i}u_{j}}{\varepsilon} \right)}{\partial x_{j}} \bigg] = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial (P \ \varepsilon)}{\partial x_{i}} + \frac{\mu}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{i}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} + \rho g_{i} - D_{i} \end{split}$$
Ergun correlation :
$$D_{i} &= -\frac{\mu U_{i}}{\kappa} + \frac{1,75\rho|u|}{\sqrt{150}\sqrt{\kappa}\varepsilon^{1.5}} U_{i} \qquad \kappa = \tilde{\kappa} \text{ or } \kappa = \kappa_{ij}$$

**Application: Porous media** 

**Validation stage:** analysis of references cases involving porous and fluid domains. Study of FEM solution and analytical/reference solutions



#### **Application: Thermal problems**

**Development:** Calculation of the convection coefficient "h" based on a rigorous approach for the estimation of the bulk temperature Tm.

Current Status: Available in the Development version.

$$h = \frac{q}{T_s - T_m}$$

With q the heat flux, Ts the temperature at the surface and Tm the "bulk temperature"

- Frequently used by engineers in cooling applications in order to approximate the effect of the fluid cooling on the structure (See \*BOUNDARY\_CONVECTION).
- The h can be found in empirical tables based on the fluid properties and the geometry of the pipe.
- However, for complex cases and geometries, it may be useful to run the CFD problem in order to check the value of the h along the pipe and to look for potential zones or pipe bents where the cooling becomes less or too efficient.

**Application: External and internal aerodynamics with turbulence** 

**Development**: Adding more HRN and LRN laws of the wall for the turbulence models. Providing more tools for the boundary layer mesh generation.

Current status: Implementation stage



**Future Developments** 

- A wave generator for free surface problems.
- Porous media with FSI problems.
- Specific porous media models for parachutes.
- Adaptive surface remeshing.
- Embedded approach for FSI problems.

LS-PrePost ICFD Post Treatments

- Since the official release of the ICFD solver in the R7.0 version, developments have been continuous and the number of users has been steadily growing.
- Currently, LS-PrePost offers some tools in order to post treat the results from the ICFD solver based on its solid mechanic counterpart.
- However, the requirements for CFD post treatment are often quite different and challenging. This meant that a radically new approach was needed for LS-PrePost to meet those specific requirements.
- LS-PrePost 4.2 will be the first version to incorporate post treatments specific to the ICFD Solver and to CFD solvers in general.

#### **Object oriented structure:**



#### **Object oriented structure:**



A right click on the initial Object (here the fluid volume) pops up a Menu which allows the user to create new objects



#### Modifying newly created object:


### New object created : Splane







#### icfd ANSA Car Objects Time = 1.9823 MS SHELL 6 ▼ MS SOLID 10 🖌 IsoSurface 7 IsoSurface 8 IsoSurface 9 ▼ ▼ SectionPlane 10 ✔ VectPlot 11 All None Reverse Object Properties Position 3212.21; -0.018675; 4 Normal 0;1;0 Plane $\checkmark$ Grid $\checkmark$ Grid nx 40 Grid ny 50 Centd N1-12 3NPS CG. NmX 1P+NL Display Options NmY NmZ Mode Shade Color by Fluid velocity Fringe Contour $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ Fringe Legend Transparency 0 Line Width 1 Min Scalar 0 Possible to display Vector AVG Scalar 57.333698 Max Scalar 114.667397 in "Grid Mode" to better see Velocity gradients Eigen First: 58 Inc: 1 Time: 1.9823 State: 58 1 Last: Animate Loop

30S -





It is also possible to post treat all ASCII files (See \*ICFD\_DATABASE family) dumped by the ICFD solver (forces, flux, point data etc)



- Currently, four type of objects can be created : Splane, isosurfaces, streamlines and vectors. Those are the most commonly used visualization tools in order to study flow patterns.
- More object types may be implemented in the future.
- The next step of development will include some new features for a more flexible and dynamic post treatment of results and data (easy extraction of values from the mesh, curve plotting options etc..)
- LS-PrePost 4.2 is currently in beta stage and is available to users eager to beta test its current functionalities.

### Thank you for your attention !



Thank You!

Particle Methods Jason Wang

### **Meshless Particle Solvers**

### 1) Particle Gas

- CPM Ideal Gas Law
- Particle Blast Real Gas Law
- 2) SPH
- 3) Discrete Element Method (DEM)
  - Discrete Element Sphere
  - Discrete Element Method with Bond
- 4) Coupled Multi-Physics Solvers

### Particle Gas, CPM-Ideal Gas Law

- Modeled by ideal gas law: pV=nRT
- The volume of molecules is neglected
- maintain the same Maxwell-Boltzmann
  velocity distribution at thermal equilibrium
- Work for low pressure and moderate temperature



### **CPM/UP** switch with Chambers

Time =



New: chambers becomes separated UP domain

Chamber 22

### CPM/UP switch with Chambers

- CPM/UP Switch at 7 ms, curves A, B, C, D, E
- CPM all the way, curves F, G, H, I, J



Time

### Particle Gas, Particle Blast-Real Gas Law



# Particle Gas, Particle Blast–Real Gas Law

### Air Particle

- Modeled by ideal gas law (CPM): pV=nRT
- High Explosive (HE) Particles
  - Modeled by real gases: p(V-b)=nRT
  - The co-volume effect is included
  - Work for high pressure and high temperature
  - Pressure drop sharply during adiabatic expansion

### Particle Gas, Ideal and Real Gas Law



# Particle Blast, Real Gas Law

#### **Numerical Example**



# Particle Blast, Real Gas Law

**Numerical Example** 

Blast simulation with sand



### Particle Blast, Real Gas Law

#### **Numerical Example**

#### **Simulation Results for 700mm Model**



Center Deflection [mm]

Time[ms]

### **Enhancement of SPH**

- **1. Friction Stir Welding**
- 2. SPH to SPH contact
- 3. SPH active region and new bucket sort

# **Friction Stir Welding**

Double Sided FSW (Bobbin Tool) - 600 RPM, 1200mm/min Time = 0

#### Extended SPH thermal solver for SPH form 7 and 8



Double sided FSW 600 RPM, 1200 mm/min(plastic work and friction energy to heat) Courtesy of Kirk A. Fraser @ PredictiveEngineering



### **SPH** Interaction

#### Multiple impacts with Keyword: SECTION\_SPH\_INTERACTION

#### Define the different type of interactions between SPH parts.



### **SPH Active Region & Better Bucket Sort**





### **Discrete Element Method (DEM)**

- **1. Discrete Element Sphere**
- 2. Discrete Element Method with Bond

### **Discrete Element Method (DEM)**

#### **Discrete Element Sphere**



**Dry Particle** 

Wet Particle

# **Discrete Element Method (DEM)**

#### **Discrete Element Sphere**



# **DEM Mixer**

#### Mixer 9.6L (kg-m-s) Time = 0



z x y

# Node to Surface Coupling

#### \*DEFINE\_DE\_TO\_SURFACE\_COUPLING



### **Tied Node to Surface Coupling**

#### \*DEFINE\_DE\_TO\_SURFACE\_TIED



Throwing a pie in the face, Courtesy of Kazuya, Lancemore

# How to Form Other Shapes





# **Discrete Element Sphere with Bond Model**

**Emerge into Continuum Mechanics** 

- All particles are linked to their neighboring particles through Bonds.
- The properties of the bonds represent the complete mechanical behavior of Solid Mechanics.
- The bonds are independent from the DES model.
- They are calculated from Bulk Modulus and Shear Modulus of materials.
- Contact is disabled between bonded pair



### **DEM Bonds**

### DE Bond Type I

- Simple links, truss or beam, etc...
- Extended Peridynamics

### DE Bond Type II

- Heterogeneous links to model continuum mechanics
- Extended features and will use regular \*MAT properties

### **DE Bond TYPE I**

### • Every bond is subjected to:

- Stretching
- Shearing
- Bending
- Twisting
- The breakage of a bond results to Micro-Damage which is controlled by the critical fracture energy value J<sub>IC</sub>.



# **DEM Bond TYPE I**

#### Form different shapes of particles using DEM

LS-DYNA keyword deck by LS-PrePost Time = 0 DE Paste (microgm-micron-sec) Time = 0 CONTROL C
## **DEM TYPE II**

#### Heterogeneous BOND (HBOND) Continuum Particle Method



- **\*DEFINE\_DE\_HBOND** connects two spheres with a heterogeneous bond.
- \*MAT properties are used to determine the stiffness of the bonds automatically.
- Strains, stresses, and history variables are computed for each particle independently.
- \*INTERFACE\_DE\_HBOND specifies various damage/failure models.
- Self contact will be activated for broken bonds.

## **One Particle Method**

From "Continuum" to "Discrete"



- One model setup
- One solver
- Same material models
- Multi-physics: *Continuum Mechanics Damage Mechanics Fracture Mechanics Discrete Mechanics*
- Built-in self contact
- Coupling with other FEM and particle methods

# **HBOND** Verification

### A simply-supported beam under a body force



- FEM & DEM models are created for one half beam with the symmetric boundary conditions in the middle.
- The displacements & stresses obtained by the DEM are very close to those by the FEM.
- No boundary effects.







## **HBOND** Verification

### **Specimen under Tension**



# **HBOND** Verification

### **Specimen under Compression without Pre-Notch**



## **HBOND Micro-Mechanics**

**\*DEFINE\_DE\_HBOND** creates a heterogeneous bond between different spheres.

**\*INTERFACE\_DE\_HBOND** defines various damage/failure models for the heterogeneous bonds based on the material properties of the connecting particles.



Various heterogeneous bonds

# SiC/AI Metal Matrix Composite

### **DEM for Material Design**



Material Properties		AI	9	SiC	
Density: [kg/m <sup>3</sup> ]		2,700	) 3,	3,100	
Young's modulus [GPa]		71.7	Z	427	
Poisson's ratio:		0.33	0	0.17	
Failure Energy Rate: [kN/m]		40		15	
Average Particle size: [um]		-		13	
LS-DYNA Results	7% vol		25% vol		
	Exp.	Num.	Exp.	Num.	
Young's modulus [GPa]	84.2	80.6	113.3	113.5	
Tensile strength [MPa]	568.6	545.0	623.6	641.3	
Limit strain	1.8%	1.9%	1.2%	1.4%	

## **HBOND**

### A Reinforced Bar under Four-Point Bending



\*DEFINE\_DE\_HBOND bonds all parts.

\*INTERFACE\_DE\_HBOND defines different de-bonding criteria between parts





### **De-bonding process**

### **Comparison between DEM & Experimental Results**











# **Coupled Multi-Physics Solvers**



# Node to Node Coupling

### **SPH to SPH Contact**



Tank sloshing with fluid and vapor (node to node contact) Density ratio ~ 1000

# Node to Beam Coupling

### **DES to Beam Contact**



## Node to Surface Coupling

### **DES to Segment Contact**

Particles (kg-m-s) Time = 0



## Node to Volume Coupling

### **DES to ALE Contact**



**Powder(DES)** 

# **Coupled Multi-Physics Solvers**



Thank you!

Thank You!

# Summary

- LSTC is working to be the leader in cost effective large scale numerical simulations
  - LSTC is providing dummy, barrier, and head form models to reduce customer costs.
  - LS-PrePost, LS-Opt, and LS-TaSC are continuously improving and gaining more usage within the LS-DYNA user community
  - LSTC is actively working on seamless multistage simulations in automotive crashworthiness, manufacturing, and aerospace
- The scalable implicit solver is quickly gaining market acceptance for linear/nonlinear implicit calculations and simulations
  - Robustness, speed, accuracy, and scalability have rapidly improved
  - Developments:
    - Acoustics
    - Rotational dynamics

## **Future**

- LSTC is not content with what has been achieved
- New features and algorithms will be continuously implemented to handle new challenges and applications
  - Electromagnetics,
  - Acoustics,
  - Compressible and incompressible fluids
  - Isogeometric shell elements and NURB contact algorithms
  - Discrete element methodology for modeling granular materials, failure, etc.
  - Simulation based airbag folding and THUMS dummy positioning underway
- Multiscale capabilities are under development
  - Subcycling
- Hybrid MPI/OPENMP developments are showing significant advantages at high number of processors for explicit and implicit solutions

Thank You!

# 10<sup>th</sup> European LS-DYNA Users Conference



